Project QA Assessment: Design and Appraisal

Strategic

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

☐ 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.

☒ 2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.

☐ 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

***Note: Projects not contributing to a programme must have a project-specific Theory of Change. See alternative question under the information icon for these cases.**

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

Yes, the IP document (Attachment 1) in pages 06-07 shows links to the programme theory of change.

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

☐ 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan and adapts at least one Signature Solution. The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)

☒ 2: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)

☐ 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC IP document (Attachment 1) in pages 01 & 20 has mentioned the alignment of UNSDCF/ CPD outcome & output with the expected result of the project.

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNSDCF/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for strategic interventions not part of a programme)

☒ YES

☐ NO

***Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC IP document (Attachment 1) in page. 01 & 20 has mentioned the alignment of UNSDCF/ CPD outcome & output with the expected result of the project.

Relevant

4. Does the project identify target groups, and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable and left further behind (LNOB)

☐ 3: The LNOB target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.

☒ 2: The LNOB target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.

☐ 1: The LNOB target groups are not clearly specified.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. Projects that build institutional capacity should still identify targeted groups to justify support.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) – Characters: 2000*

Similar to Phase I, the LoGIC Initiation Plan builds on developing the methodology to identify targeted groups, with a particular focus on those who are marginalized, vulnerable, and at risk of being left further behind (LNOB). It continues to prioritize climate-vulnerable communities, poor and extreme-poor households, women, youth, and socially excluded groups, ensuring that the project's support remains inclusive and equity-driven. The IP also highlights the need to strengthen local institutions and civil society actors who support these communities, ensuring that LNOB principles remain central throughout the bridging period and preparation for LoGIC II. To ensure readiness for rapid implementation, key operational tools such as beneficiary selection criteria, grievance redress mechanisms, CALO and Green Business Implementation guidelines, and capacity-building training manuals, the PBCRG implementation manual will be updated during the Initiation Plan phase. These revisions will address the LNOB issue and enable the project to immediately roll out activities once the second phase is launched.

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

☒ 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.

☐ 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.

☐ 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) – Characters: 2000*

Insights from the Mid-Term Evaluation and the draft Final Evaluation of LoGIC Phase I were particularly instrumental in shaping the approach, ensuring that proven practices are sustained, gaps are addressed, and successful models are strengthened in the IP phase.

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

☐ 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)

☒ 2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.

☐ 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) – Characters: 2000*

The LoGIC project is designed as a joint programme between UNDP and UNCDF, leveraging the comparative advantages of both agencies as outlined in the Initiation Plan in pages 5 & 6 (attached). The IP clearly articulates their complementary strengths. UNDP's leadership in capacity development, policy support, and institutional strengthening, and UNCDF's expertise in local financing and fiscal mechanisms. The governance structure detailed in the IP further defines their roles, responsibilities, and engagement scope, ensuring a coherent and well-coordinated approach that positions UNDP and UNCDF with a clear advantage relative to other national, regional, and global actors.

Principled

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

☐ 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

☒ 2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)

☐ 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

* Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000

The beneficiary selection approach developed under LoGIC Phase I demonstrated strong inclusion, with CRF beneficiaries comprising 99% women, 6% from marginalized occupational groups, 4% persons with disabilities, 1% indigenous (ethnic minority) people, 24% farmers, 4% fisherfolk, 49%-day labourers, 9% women-headed households, 77% agricultural landless households, and 43% living on or outside embankments. The manuals and guidelines, such as beneficiary selection criteria, grievance redress mechanisms, CALO and Green Business implementation guidelines, PBCRG implementation manual, and capacity-building training materials developed/updated under this IP phase, will build on these practices to further embed inclusion and apply a human rights-based approach.

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

☐ 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)

☒ 2: A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)

☐ 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC project very much addresses gender equality mainstreaming principles. 99% of the household beneficiaries are women who received CRF grants from the project's 1st phase. This ensures women engage in income-generating activities. 86% of the community-level schemes supported by LoGIC (PBCRG fund) are gender responsive. LoGIC has a gender sensitive M&E framework that ensures sex disaggregated data collection.
However, a comprehensive Gender Analysis and Action Plan (GAP) will be developed to inform project gender strategies, and a consultant has already been onboarded to lead this process. The GAP will ensure that gender considerations are systematically integrated into all components, strengthening inclusion, equity, and responsiveness to the specific needs of women and other gender-vulnerable groups.

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

☐ 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

☒ 2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)

☐ 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC applies the UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Tool to identify and manage risks associated with climate change adaptation (CCA) actions implemented by local government institutions (LGIs). A consultant has already been onboarded to support this process.

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of the preparation and dissemination of reports and communication materials; organization of events, workshops, or training; strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences; partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks; and global/regional projects with no country-level activities as well as Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects. [If yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, Select all exemption criteria that apply.]

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)

*Applicable only to option "SESP not required"

☐ 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials

☐ 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training

☐ 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences

☐ 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)

☐ 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent

☐ 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

***Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

The LoGIC Social and Environmental Screening Plan (SESP) has been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks. The completed checklist is attached as evidence (Attachment)

Management & Monitoring

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

☐ 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)

☒ 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)

☐ 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

The project has a strong results framework. A detailed results framework will be prepared based on the project's objectives, outcomes, and outputs. Relevant indicators will be incorporated into the M&E plan to facilitate routine monitoring and evaluation. A comprehensive work plan with the required budget has also been developed (in pages 22-27, IP attached) to guide the implementation of planned activities. The Initiation Plan (IP) will produce regular reports, including Quarterly Progress Reports, and contribute to the Results Oriented Analysis Report (ROAR) in line with UNDP M&E requirements.

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

☐ 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)

☒ 2: The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)

☐ 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

Yes, see the IP document (page 15) (Attachment 1).

13. Have the project risks been identified using the risk assessment tools (Project Quality Assurance, Social and Environmental Screening Procedure, Partner Capacity Assessment Tool, Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfer, Private Sector Due Diligence, etc., if applicable), with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

☐ 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk register, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security

Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)

☒ 2: Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk register based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.

☐ 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk register, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

Yes, see the IP document (Pages 16-19) (Attachment 1).

Efficient

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design?

☒ Yes

☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

All project expenditures are incurred following the policy and procedures of UNDP to ensure quality and cost effectiveness.

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

☐ 3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.

☒ 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.

☐ 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC organizes a planning workshop annually to identify the activities with budget estimation and based on this project prepare the annual work plan (AWP) with budget.
Please see the AWP-2026 in the attachment (2).

16. Is the Country Office / Regional / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

☐ 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)

☒ 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.

☐ 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. The budget must be revised to fully reflect the costs of implementation before the project commences.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

Please see the LoGIC annual work plan (AWP) 2026 in the attachment (2).

Effective

17. Have targeted groups, and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable, and left further behind (LNOB), been engaged in the design of the project?

☐ 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated, vulnerable and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)

☒ 2: Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.

☐ 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.

☐ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

During the Initiation Plan phase, key operational tools such as beneficiary selection criteria, grievance redress mechanisms, CALO and Green Business implementation guidelines, PBCRG implementation manual, and capacity-building training materials will be updated. Based on these, marginalized, vulnerable, and LNOB populations were engaged in the design of the project. Their perspectives and needs have informed project objectives, activities, and implementation strategies to ensure inclusivity and equitable access to benefit.

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

***Note: Risk Management must be done when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC has a comprehensive and rigorous M&E plan, which is being used and updated regularly. Also, the project has developed an Adaptation Tracking and Measuring (ATM), which is in the digital version, such as mobile and web apps. The ATM system provides necessary information to administer the LoGIC project by accommodating community risk assessment results and making necessary provisions to evaluate/manage the results that the project is going to generate through the execution of field-level interventions.
Please see the LoGIC ATM framework in the attachment.

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

☒ Yes

☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

The Initiation Plan (IP) serves primarily as a design and readiness phase, laying the foundation for gender-transformative approaches in LoGIC II. One of the key deliverables during this phase is the Gender Gap Analysis and the development of a Gender Action Plan, which will be widely consulted with key stakeholders, including government agencies, CSOs, and community representatives. These findings will be integrated across all outputs of the main project phase to ensure gender equity in climate adaptation interventions. Furthermore, the IP will embed dedicated gender-related outputs and indicators within the results framework, enabling systematic monitoring and reporting. This approach ensures that gender considerations are not only mainstreamed but also drive transformative change in local governance, livelihoods, and resilience-building efforts.

20. Have societal digital risks and opportunities been taken into account when designing the project's approach and have digital or data technology solutions been considered to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness and scalability of project results?

☐ 3: To the extent possible, societal digital risks and opportunities have been investigated when designing the strategy and Theory of Change, and the potential use of digital or data technologies in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles. (All must be true)

☒ 2: Only the potential use of digital or data solutions in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles, but there is no or limited evidence that aspects of inclusive digital societies have been considered in the design of the strategy or Theory of Change.

☐ 1: Neither societal digital risks and opportunities, nor digital or data technology solutions were specifically considered in the project design or, UNDP's digital standards and data principles are not taken into account when intending to use digital or data technology solutions in project activities.

☐ Digital considerations are not relevant to this project.

*Applicable only to option "Digital considerations are not relevant"

☐ 1: Societal digital transformation is not a government or contextual priority

☐ 2: A non-digital approach yields higher effectiveness and efficiency

☐ 3: Other (specify in the "Evidence" section)

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

LoGIC phase- I has ensured automation of data flow and information sharing system considering the organizational standards and principles. LoGIC digitalization systems are: Adaptation Tracking & Measuring System (ATM), Online MIS, Digital Data Collection for beneficiary survey, Cooperative Software, LoGIC Website, and Cloud File Management. IP will follow a similar tracking mechanism. In addition, the IP phase will test the use of blockchain technology as a pilot for digital financial solutions.

Sustainability & National Ownership

21. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the country / regional / global project, respectively?

☐ 3: National / regional / global partners have full ownership of the country / regional / global project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.

☒ 2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.

☐ 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

At the design stage, LoGIC ensures situation analysis through reviewing national and international documents as well as organized consultation meetings with different ministries, e.g., Local Government Division (LGD) and expert groups, also with multiple development partners, the government. counterparts etc.

22. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

☐ 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.

☒ 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.

☐ 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out

☐ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

A feasibility study is currently ongoing to assess capacity needs, and an expert has been onboarded to support the process. Based on the findings, a strategy will be developed to strengthen both specific and comprehensive capacities of the relevant institutions.

23. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

All project procurements are made through the UNDP country office procurement unit. LoGIC has its own M&E system, but it is very much aligned with the UNDP country M&E system. The project evaluations are conducted according to the guidelines of UNDP and GoB.

24. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

** Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response) - Characters: 2000*

The project has developed an exit strategy and sustainability plan for LoGIC-I and conducted consultations with partners, local governments, and field staff. To sustain the results of PBCRG investment (Community level climate change adaptation linked schemes) as well as the adaptation planning and financing practices mainstreamed into local government processes, the LoGIC project has adopted an exit strategy. (See the attachment)

[i]

[i] Please make sure that the approved **risk register** as **SESP** is provided with this PQA along with all **relevant evidence** (even if you have entered texts in response to the questions), which should be uploaded to the Quantum+. Otherwise, the PQA should not be approved.